

RESTRICTIONS AND GENERALIZED INVERSES IN LINEAR MODELS

by

S. R. Searle

Biometrics Unit, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

Abstract

Given any generalized inverse $(\underline{X}'\underline{X})^-$ appropriate to normal equations $\underline{X}'\underline{X}\underline{b}^0 = \underline{X}'\underline{y}$ for the linear model $\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{b} + \underline{e}$, a procedure is given for obtaining from it a generalized inverse appropriate to a restricted model having restrictions $\underline{P}'\underline{b} = \underline{0}$ for $\underline{P}'\underline{b}$ non-estimable.

Introduction

Mazumdar, Li and Bryce (1980), for a linear model

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{b} + \underline{e} \quad (1)$$

with restrictions

$$\underline{P}'\underline{b} = \underline{0}, \quad (2)$$

consider the problem of deriving a generalized inverse of $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$, call it \underline{G}_r , such that a solution to the least squares equations for the restricted model (1) and (2) is $\underline{b}_r^0 = \underline{G}_r \underline{X}'\underline{y}$. They achieve this by using full rank sub-matrices of \underline{P}' and $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$. This note suggests an alternative procedure that is based solely on generalized inverses and which requires no partitioning of matrices.

The Method

Let \underline{G} be any generalized inverse of $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$, i.e., $\underline{X}'\underline{X}\underline{G}\underline{X}'\underline{X} = \underline{X}'\underline{X}$, and let

$$\underline{b}^0 = \underline{G}\underline{X}'\underline{y} \quad (3)$$

be the corresponding solution of the normal equations $\underline{X}'\underline{X}\underline{b}^0 = \underline{X}'\underline{y}$ for the unrestricted model (1). Then, using the definition

$$\underline{H} = \underline{G}\underline{X}'\underline{X} , \quad (4)$$

Searle (1971, Section 5.7d) shows that - with slightly amended notation - a solution of the normal equations for the restricted model (1) and (2) is

$$\underline{b}_r^0 = \underline{b}^0 + (\underline{I} - \underline{H})\underline{w} \quad (5)$$

for \underline{w} satisfying

$$\underline{P}'(\underline{I} - \underline{H})\underline{w} = -\underline{P}'\underline{b}^0 . \quad (6)$$

We assume \underline{P}' is such that $\underline{P}'\underline{b}$ is non-estimable and of full row rank. Hence its rows are linearly independent of each other and of rows of \underline{X} , and they do not exceed $p - r_{\underline{X}}$ in number, where \underline{X} has p columns and rank $r_{\underline{X}} < p$. Furthermore, $(\underline{I} - \underline{H})$ has rank $p - r_{\underline{X}}$. Therefore (6) has a solution for \underline{w} :

$$\underline{w} = -[\underline{P}'(\underline{I} - \underline{H})]^{-}\underline{P}'\underline{b}^0 \quad (7)$$

and so in (5)

$$\underline{b}_r^0 = \underline{b}^0 - (\underline{I} - \underline{H})[\underline{P}'(\underline{I} - \underline{H})]^{-}\underline{P}'\underline{b}^0 = \underline{G}_r\underline{X}'\underline{y} \quad (8)$$

for

$$\underline{G}_r = \underline{G} - (\underline{I} - \underline{H})[\underline{P}'(\underline{I} - \underline{H})]^{-}\underline{P}'\underline{G} . \quad (9)$$

If a symmetric, reflexive generalized inverse is wanted in place of \underline{G}_r , i.e., a \underline{G} such that $\underline{G} = \underline{G}' = \underline{G}\underline{X}'\underline{X}\underline{G}$, then it is obtainable from \underline{G}_r as

$$\underline{G}_r^{\dagger} = \underline{G}_r\underline{X}'\underline{X}\underline{G}_r . \quad (10)$$

Since the definition of \underline{H} in (4) gives $\underline{X}'\underline{X}(\underline{I} - \underline{H}) = \underline{0}$, it is easily seen that \underline{G}_r is a generalized inverse of $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$; and by (8) it gives \underline{b}_r^0 as $\underline{G}_r \underline{X}'\underline{y}$. Thus (9) is the procedure for establishing a generalized inverse of $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$ that converts any solution to the normal equations into one that corresponds to restrictions $\underline{P}'\underline{b} = \underline{0}$; and (8) is that solution. No partitioning of \underline{P}' and $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$ is required; (9) relies solely on \underline{P}' and any \underline{G} .

Example: Consider fitting $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + e_{ij}$ to the following 6 observations in 3 classes of a 1-way classification

Data		
101	84	32
105	88	
<u>94</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u>300</u>	<u>172</u>	<u>32</u>

The normal equations are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & . & . \\ 2 & . & 2 & . \\ 1 & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^0 \\ \alpha_1^0 \\ \alpha_2^0 \\ \alpha_3^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 504 \\ 300 \\ 172 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix}.$$

With

$$\underline{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & . & . & . \\ . & \frac{1}{3} & . & . \\ . & . & \frac{1}{2} & . \\ . & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{a solution is} \quad \underline{b}^0 = \underline{G} \begin{bmatrix} 504 \\ 300 \\ 172 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 100 \\ 88 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To convert this to a solution that corresponds to the restriction $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$, we have $\underline{P}' = [0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]$. Using this and

$$\underline{\underline{H}} = \underline{\underline{GX}}' \underline{\underline{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & . & . & . \\ 1 & 1 & . & . \\ 1 & . & 1 & . \\ 1 & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

of (4), we get from (9)

$$\underline{\underline{G}}_r = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & . & . & . \\ . & \frac{1}{3} & . & . \\ . & . & \frac{1}{2} & . \\ . & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & . & . & . \\ -1 & . & . & . \\ -1 & . & . & . \\ -1 & . & . & . \end{bmatrix} [-3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^{-1} [0 \ \frac{1}{3} \ \frac{1}{2} \ 1] .$$

Then since $[-3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^{-1} = [-\frac{1}{3} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]'$, we have

$$\underline{\underline{G}}_r = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & . & . & . \\ . & \frac{1}{3} & . & . \\ . & . & \frac{1}{2} & . \\ . & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} [0 \ \frac{1}{3} \ \frac{1}{2} \ 1] = \frac{1}{18} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & -2 & 6 & -6 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 & 12 \end{bmatrix} ,$$

$$\underline{\underline{b}}_r^0 = \underline{\underline{G}}_r \underline{\underline{X}}' \underline{\underline{y}} = \frac{1}{18} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & -2 & 6 & -6 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 504 \\ 300 \\ 172 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 72\frac{2}{3} \\ 27\frac{1}{3} \\ 13\frac{1}{3} \\ -40\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} ,$$

and

$$\underline{\underline{G}}_r^* = \underline{\underline{G}}_r \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & . & . \\ 2 & . & 2 & . \\ 1 & . & . & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{G}}_r' = \frac{1}{54} \begin{bmatrix} 11 & -5 & -2 & 7 \\ -5 & 17 & -4 & -13 \\ -2 & -4 & 20 & -16 \\ 7 & -13 & -16 & 29 \end{bmatrix} .$$

It is easily seen that in $\underline{\underline{b}}_r^0$

$$\alpha_1^0 + \alpha_2^0 + \alpha_3^0 = 27\frac{1}{3} + 13\frac{1}{3} - 40\frac{2}{3} = 0 .$$

As a final comment it can be noted that usually \underline{P}' of the restrictions $\underline{P}'\underline{b} = \underline{0}$ in (2) has rank $p - r_{\underline{X}}$; but it does not have to. Results (8) and (9) hold so long as \underline{P}' has full row rank, not exceeding $p - r_{\underline{X}}$ and with its rows linearly independent of rows of \underline{X} .

References

- Mazumdar, Sati, Li, Ching Chun and Bryce, G. Rex. (1980). Correspondence between a linear restriction and a generalized inverse in linear model analysis. The American Statistician, 34, 103-105.
- Searle, S. R. (1971). Linear Models. Wiley, New York.